Message

From: Scianni, Melissa [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=96DF5A77C72A4D109141A14DCF9E5C9B-MSCIANNI]

Sent: 5/11/2022 5:35:12 PM

To: Nate Bello [bello@wra-ca.com]

CC: Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) [Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil]; Steinert, Tiffany@Waterboards

[tiffany.steinert@waterboards.ca.gov]; Gymer, Lisa@Wildlife [Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov]; Tracey Brownfield [tracey@landveritas.com]; Estes, Stephen M CIV USARMY CESPL (USA) [Stephen.M.Estes@usace.army.mil]; Marlene

Tyner-Valencourt [tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com]; Troxel, Tiffany A CIV USARMY CESPL (USA)

[Tiffany.A.Troxel@usace.army.mil]

Subject: RE: IRT Notice of 30 day Amendment Comment Period: Petersen Ranch MB - BEI Amendment No. 2 to Allow Area F

Spineflower Introduction

Thanks Nate. Let's talk tomorrow about what makes the most sense.

Melissa Scianni Wetlands Office US EPA, Region IX, Southern CA Field Office 600 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 940 Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 244-1817 scianni.melissa@epa.gov

From: Nate Bello

Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2022 10:33 AM

To: Scianni, Melissa <Scianni.Melissa@epa.gov>

Cc: Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) < Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil>; Steinert,

Tiff any @Waterboards < tiff any.steinert @waterboards.ca.gov>; Gymer, Lisa @Wildlife < Lisa. Gymer @wildlife.ca.gov>; Gymer, Lisa @wildlife.co.gov>; Gymer, Lisa @wi

Tracey Brownfield <tracey@landveritas.com>; Estes, Stephen M CIV USARMY CESPL (USA)

<Stephen.M.Estes@usace.army.mil>; Marlene Tyner-Valencourt <tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com>; Troxel, Tiffany A CIV USARMY CESPL (USA) <Tiffany.A.Troxel@usace.army.mil>

Subject: Re: IRT Notice of 30 day Amendment Comment Period: Petersen Ranch MB - BEI Amendment No. 2 to Allow Area F Spineflower Introduction

Thanks for the feedback Melissa,

Just in case it has slipped memory, since it was nearly a year ago, I wanted to remind the IRT of the email we sent on July 16, 2021 with an explanation of how the credits were debited using the acreage of the footprint of the intro area and which included as an attachment the spreadsheet that I have attached to this email. In the tracked changes version of exhibit F-1.1, also attached here, the changes we made to each type of credit are evident. TO answer your question, there are no Waters of the U.S. in the intro area, only 404 buffers. We can certainly add a detailed table to Exhibit F-1.1, however, it will be a little awkward as that exhibit shows the potential credits the bank has been awarded, not potential credits the bank has not been awarded. Would a table in the amendment memo make more sense? Open to suggestions.

Regards,

Nate

Nathan Bello | Conservation Biologist/Planner | d: 415.524.7238 | o: 415.454.8868 x 1800 | c: 916.508.4993 | bello@wra-ca.com

On Wed, May 11, 2022 at 10:10 AM Scianni, Melissa < Scianni. Melissa@epa.gov> wrote: Hi Shannon, I have reviewed the proposed amendment as well as the revised Exhibit F. The information provided in the revised Exhibit F is not sufficient to determine whether the correct number of credits were removed from each of the credit tables. I'd like to request that Exhibit F-1.1 include a table or other explanation for how the number of credits deducted from each of the credit tables were determined. It is not clear from the documents how many acres of the Spineflower area are jurisdictional waters or what type of restoration had been proposed for these areas. That information is necessary to determine how many 404 credits should be deducted since the bank is using unform reestablishment credits for the 404 credits. Please let me know if you have any questions or would like to talk. I will be available for the IRT meeting tomorrow afternoon. Thanks, Melissa Melissa Scianni Wetlands Office US EPA, Region IX, Southern CA Field Office 600 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 940 Los Angeles, CA 90017

From: Pankratz, Shannon L CIV USARMY CESPL (US) < Shannon.L.Pankratz@usace.army.mil>

Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2022 5:16 PM

(213) 244-1817

scianni.melissa@epa.gov

<tiffany.steinert@waterboards.ca.gov>; Gymer, Lisa@Wildlife <Lisa.Gymer@wildlife.ca.gov>

Cc: 'Tracey Brownfield' <<u>tracey@landveritas.com</u>>; 'Nate Bello' <<u>bello@wra-ca.com</u>>; Estes, Stephen M CIV USARMY CESPL (USA) <<u>Stephen.M.Estes@usace.army.mil</u>>; 'Marlene Tyner-Valencourt' <<u>tyner-valencourt@wra-ca.com</u>>; Troxel,

Tiffany A CIV USARMY CESPL (USA) < Tiffany.A.Troxel@usace.army.mil >

Subject: IRT Notice of 30 day Amendment Comment Period: Petersen Ranch MB - BEI Amendment No. 2 to Allow Area F Spineflower Introduction

Hello IRT members,

Please see the amendment (Amendment No.2) request, due to size available in RIBITS under "Area F Spineflower Amendment", as received from the Petersen Ranch Mitigation Bank sponsor. The Corps has determined use of the streamlined review process described in 33 C.F.R. 332.8(g)(2) is appropriate as exclusion of a small area from a future bank phase/crediting is not a significant change. Per the 2008 Mitigation Rule, the 30-day IRT comment period for this proposed amendment, (beginning on April 27th), is through May 27, 2022. Please provide your written agency comments (including via email), or respond that you have no comments, or that you are waiving the 30-day comment period.

All the best,

Shannon Pankratz

Senior Project Manager, Biologist

Regulatory Division, North Coast Branch, Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties Section

Los Angeles District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

915 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 930

Los Angeles, California 90017

shannon.l.pankratz@usace.army.mil

Office: 213-452-3412

Government Mobile: 213-453-8586

IMPORTANT NOTE: During the Coronavirus Health Emergency, Regulatory Program staff are teleworking. Please do not mail hard copy documents to any Regulatory staff or office. For further details on corresponding with us, please view our COVID-19 special public notice at:

https://www.spl.usace.army.mil/Portals/17/docs/publicnotices/COVID19%20Regulatory SPN.pdf?ver=2020-03-19-134532-833

